State of California Business, Transportation and Housing Agency Department of Transportation

Prepared By: Jim Nicholas Program Manager, Transportation Programming (916) 654-4013

PROJECT BUSINESS MATTERS Technical Changes -TEA/STIP Amendment 96S-52 CTC Meeting: July 19-20, 2000

Agenda Item: 2.9b.

W. J. EVANS, Deputy Director January 1, 1998

Finance

TECHNICAL CHANGE TO PREVIOUSLY APPROVED RESOLUTIONS

TEA/STIP AMENDMENT 96S-52

Technical Correction to Transportation Enhancement Activities (TEA) Program STIP Amendment 96S-52, originally approved January 14-15, 1998, to restore to the TEA Program the City of Orinda Bike Trail project for \$200,000.

This action will reduce the amount of savings identified in TEA/STIP Amendment 96S-52, in the TEA Program, from \$2,562,000 to \$2,362,000, and reduces the number of TEA projects shifted into the Proposition 116 Program from two (2) to one (1) (see Attachment B).

The City of Orinda completed the bikeway project using 1997 TEA funds and therefore needs to be included in the appropriate program's approved list.

(Changes to the text and attachments under this action are shown in **bold/underline**.

State of California

Business, Transportation and Housing Agency

Department of Transportation

Prepared By:

Jim Nicholas

Program Manager, Transportation Programming

Transportation Trogrammi

(916) 654-4013

PROGRAM AMENDMENTS STIP Amendment 96S-52

Action Item

CTC Meeting: January 14-15, 1998

Agenda Item: 2.1a

W. J. EVANS, Deputy Director CRAIG L. HOUSE, Deputy Director

Finance

January 1, 1998 July 1, 2000

TEA/STIP AMENDMENT 96S-52 - ACTION

Resolved, that the Department requests the California Transportation Commission (Commission) to revise the 1996 State Transportation Improvement Program as shown below:

DIST PROG ROUTE / CORR LOCATION

E/A

PROGRAM
FUND TYPES
PROJECT
PROJECT
FISCAL AMOUNT

ELEMENT / YEAR
DESCRIPTION
YEAR (Thousands) CON

From:

List of Project Savings (See Attachment A) \$1,662

Created by Savings, Project Deletions, and

Funding by other means.

List of TEA Projects shifted into Prop 116 Bicycle

Program (See Attachment B) projects were TEA

funded; will be funded with Prop 116 savings by

separate action (See Attachment C).

Modifies:

3 5275 CALTRANS 98/99 \$1,000 C

F5919 Placer County \$1,750

Near Truckee from Midway Drive to Squaw Valley

391400 Road (STPE=\$1549)

20.20.108.000

20.30.010.825 Bikeway

STPE STA

TEA 92 TEC: 1,000 **1,750**

And To: 1 9611 F5914	Lake County In Middletown along Hartmann Road	98/99	\$211 (\$53)	C C
20.30.010.825 STPE CO TEA 97	Construct Class II Bikeway TEC: 264			
2 2024 C5019	City of Dorris Downtown Dorris	98/99	\$201 (\$27)	C C
20.30.010.825	Landscaping and Streetscape			
STPE CITY TEA 97	TEC: 228			
6 7330 198 9.5/ 11.5 40780K	CALTRANS In Lemoore from 19th Avenue to 17th Avenue (STPE=\$343)	98/99	\$390	C
20.20.108.000 STPE STA TEA 97	Landscaping			
6 7340 F5945	Tulare County In Goshen near Goshen Elementary School	98/99	\$900 (\$600)	C C
	Construct pedestrian overcrossing			
20.30.010.825 STPE CO TEA 97	TEC: 1500			
10 4996 F5940	Mariposa County In Mariposa along Mariposa Creek between 5th Street and 8th Street	98/99	\$100 (\$25)	C C
20 20 010 227	Construct Bike/Pedestrian Trail			
20.30.010.825 STPE LOC TEA 97	TEC: 125			

ACTION ITEM UPDATE FROM NOTICE

This amendment was noticed at the December 1997 Commission meeting under Item 4.5; and the Commission directed the Department to bring an amendment for action to the January meeting for final approval.

RECOMMENDATION

The Department recommends approval of this amendment redirecting \$2,562,000 \$2,362,000 in TEA savings.

SUMMARY

This amendment identifies \$2,562,000 **\$2,362,000** in Transportation Enhancement Activities (TEA) fund savings created by actual savings and project deletions (Attachment A) and trades with Prop 116 funding (Attachment B) and reprograms those funds by augmenting an existing TEA project and adding five new TEA projects.

BACKGROUND

The Commission identified \$2,562,000 \$2,362,000 in savings currently available for the TEA program. These consist of \$1,662,000 from TEA project savings and projects that are not being built with TEA funds (shown under Attachment A), plus \$900,000 \$700,000 in TEA projects shifted into the Prop 116 competitive bicycle program (shown under Attachment B) which can be funded with Prop 116 Bicycle project savings (shown under Attachment C). The projects listed in Attachments A and B are reprogrammed by this action.

Although Commission policy has been to discourage the use of TEA funds to fund cost overruns, there is one project, the Squaw Valley Truckee River bikeway, which, because of flood damage, has a legitimate claim to additional funds, if available. The addition of \$750,000 to that project will allow it to continue.

Five projects on the Standby List for Available TEA Funding can be funded with the remaining \$1,812,000. They are: the Mariposa Creek bike trail, Dorris streetscape, Lemoore gateway, Middletown bike lanes, and the Goshen pedestrian overcrossing. The funds for Squaw Valley Truckee River Bikeway and Lemoore Gateway include State Highway Account match. A total of \$133,000 additional funds from the State Highway Account are being used to match the TEA funds.

The Commission originally approved the standby list at a time when it expected the TEA program would sunset with the end of ISTEA. The Commission intended to maximize the TEA funds available and always intended to have projects available to use any leftover TEA funds. The Commission chose projects for the standby list for the most part in counties that had received less than a proportional share of TEA programming over all three rounds. It is further proposed that the other projects remaining on the TEA standby list be allowed to stay on the list, pending either additional future savings or funding from other sources.

SUMMARY TABLE

Attachment A Attachment B	TEA Savings (<u>Thousands)</u> \$1,662 <u>\$900</u> \$2,562	New TEA Programming (Thousands) \$750 \$1,802 \$2,552	Project Augmentation 5 New Projects
Attachment C	<u>Prop 116 Savings</u> \$897	2 <u>1</u> Former TEA Projects Funded with Prop 116 <u>Savings</u> \$900 \$700	Attachment B

ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A January 2, 1998 Item 2.1a

List of TEA Project Savings

DIST COUNTY FUND TYPES ELEMENT	PPNO S YR	PROJECT <u>DESCRIPTION</u>	SAVINGS AMOUNT (thousands)	Comments
10 Calaveras STPE TEA	3997 CITY 94	City of Angels Camp Along Route 49 Build Finnegan Lane Historic Pedestrian Walkway TEC=78	62	Project dropped by city
10 Calaveras STPE TEA	3998 CITY 94	City of Angels Camp Along Route 4 Build from Route 49 to Booster Way TEC=80	64	Project dropped by city
03 Nevada STPE TEA	9923 CITY 96	City of Truckee Near Downtown Truckee Build Bicycle/Pedestrian Bridge over Truckee River TEC=613	105	Project built without TEA funds
08 Riverside STPE STA TEA	5115 OTH 94	Caltrans Along Haun Road, Riverside Co. Acquire Menifee Site, 17.25 Ac. of Open Space TEC=775	220	Purchase price savings
05 San Luis Obisp STPE S TEA	A1530 DO T PKS 93	State Dept. Parks & Recreation In Morro Bay State Park Build Bicycle Trail (California Coastal Trail) TEC=320	136	TEA \$ remainder when project was traded out of STIP (S.A. #96S-45)
10 Tuolumne STPE TEA	0498 CO 94	Tuolumne County Along Route 108 near Sonora Add bike Trails & Landscaping at Sullivan Creek TEC=796	75	Project dropped by county
03,04,05,07,08 Alameda Alpine El Dorado Los Angeles Mariposa Monterey Riverside Sacramento Sonoma Tahoe STPE TEA	,10 A9091 A1998 1201 7091 B1998 1502 1011 C1998 B9091 1201A STA 96	Caltrans At 46 Locations Statewide: Ala-10, Alp=1, ED=11, LA=3, Mrp=2, Mon=1, Riv=1, Sac=2, Son=12, & Tahoe=3 Remove Billboards from Scenic Highways TEC=1000	1000	Project(s) dropped, underfunded

List of TEA Projects Shifted into Proposition 116 Bicycle Program

DIST COUNTY	PPNO		SAVINGS	
FUND TYPES		PROJECT	AMOUNT	
ELEMENT	YR	DESCRIPTION	(thousands)	Comments
CLEWIENT	<u> 11X</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	(tilousalius)	Comments
03	0001N	City of Chico	700	TEA funded
Butte		Along Route 32		project shifted
STPE	STA	Build Bikeway, East Av to First		to Prop 116
TEA	97	St, access to CSU Chico		bicycle
		TEC=791		program, state
				match remains
04	9059	City of Orinda	200	TEA funded
Contra Costa		Along Route 24		project shifted
STPE	CITY	Build Bike Trail, Bryant Way to		to Prop 116
TEA	97	St. Stephens Drive		bicycle
		TEC=240		program, local
				match remains
		TOTAL SAVINGS =	900	
		TOTAL SAVINGS –	700	
			<u>700</u>	

(The City of Orinda project should not have been included in the shift, project was completed with 1997 TEA funds.)

List of Proposition 116 Bicycle Project Savings

DIST COUNTY FUND TYPES ELEMENT	PPNO YR	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	SAVINGS AMOUNT (thousands)	Comments
ELEMENT	<u> </u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	(tilousalius)	Comments
01 Humboldt P116B	9702	Humboldt County Along Central Av near McKinleyville	12	Remainder from \$37 project savings
P116Bike	94	Widen Shoulders for Bikeway TEC=143		
07 Los Angeles P116B	6806	City of Santa Monica Along Broadway in Santa Monica	37	Project Savings
Prop116Bike	95	Add Bike Lanes TEC=76		
07 Los Angeles P116B	6813 94	City of West Hollywood Add Bike Lanes, Signs, Parking, & Lockers at Various Places in City TEC=37	6	Project Savings
Prop116Bike	74	City TEC-37		
07 Los Angeles P116B	6808	City of Manhattan Beach Extend Bay Cities Regional Bikeway TEC=266	266	Project dropped by city
Prop116Bike	94			
09 Mono P116B	1003	Town of Mammoth Lakes Extend Mammoth Lakes Trail Bikeway TEC=310	92	Project savings
Prop116Bike	94			
08 San Bernardino P116B	5001	City of Colton Build Bicycle Network & Parking in City & on County	398	Project could not be completed outside city limit,
Prop116Bike	94	Road TEC=529		remainder of unused funds
11 San Diego	8705	San Diego County Along Dehesa Road near El	28	Project savings
P116B Prop116Bike	94	Cajon, Extend Bike Lanes TEC=260		
11 San Diego	8704A	City of San Diego Along West Bernardo Drive	58	Project savings
P116B P116Bike	94	Widen & Add Bike Lanes to Lake Hodges TEC=160		
		TOTAL SAVINGS =	897	